REMARKS

Applicants thank the Examiner for returning a completely initialed copy of the Form PTO-1449.

Claims 1-7 are pending in this application. Claims 2 and 4-6 have been cancelled herein without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter claimed therein. Claim 1 has been amended herein to contain the substance of claim 5, which has been indicated to be allowable, and for cosmetic purposes to correct an obvious typographical error. Claim 3 has been amended to correct antecedent basis and dependency in light of the amendment to claim 1 and the cancellation of claim 2. Claim 7 has been amended for cosmetic purposes to correct an obvious typographical error. Accordingly, no new matter has been added by these amendments.

Therefore, after entry of these amendments, claims 1, 3, and 7 will be pending in the application.

Applicants note that there are no copending U.S. applications that claim priority to the instant application.

The disclosure has been objected to as allegedly containing an informality in the reference to "Figure 1." Although Applicants do not necessarily agree with this objection, the specification has been amended to refer to "the figure" instead of "Figure 1" at the locations indicated by the Examiner as well as at page 14, line 17. Accordingly, no new matter has been added by these amendments. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request that this objection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

The outstanding rejections are addressed individually below.

1. Claim 6, as amended, is not anticipated by Doillon et al.

Claim 6 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as allegedly being anticipated by Doillon *et al.* Claim 6 has been cancelled herein without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter claimed therein. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that this rejection has been rendered moot and request that the rejection be reconsidered and withdrawn.

2. Claims as amended are not obvious over Stovall in view of Doillon et al.

Claims 1-4 and 6-7 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as allegedly being unpatentable over Stovall in view of Doillon *et al.* Applicants respectfully traverse this rejection.

Claims 2, 4, and 6 have been cancelled herein without prejudice or disclaimer of the subject matter claimed therein. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejection is most with respect to those claims.

Claim 1 has been amended herein to incorporate the substance of the claim 5. The Examiner has indicated that claim 5 would be allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claim 3 (as amended) and claim 7 are now dependent on amended claim 1. Accordingly, Applicants submit that claims 1, 3, and 7 (as amended) are allowable. Applicants respectfully request that the rejection with regard to these claims be reconsidered and withdrawn.

CONCLUSIONS

In view of the arguments set forth above, Applicants respectfully submit that the rejections contained in the Office Action mailed on January 13, 2004, have been overcome, and that the claims are in condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that any further discussion of this communication would be helpful, he is invited to contact the undersigned at the telephone number provided below.

Applicants enclose herewith a petition for a two-month extension of time pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, up to and including June 14, 2004 (June 13, 2004 being a Sunday), to respond to the Examiner's Office Action mailed on January 13, 2004. Please charge deposit account no. 08-0219 the \$210.00 fee for this purpose. The Examiner is also hereby authorized to charge deposit account no. 08-0219 the \$165.00 fee for the enclosed Notice of Appeal.

No other fees are believed to be due in connection with this response. However, please charge any underpayments or credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 08-0219.

Respectfully submitted,

son E. Corking

Alison E. Corkery Reg. No. 52,770

WILMER CUTLER PICKERING

HALE AND DORR LLP

60 State Street Boston, MA 02109

Tel: (617) 526-6000 Fax: (617) 526-5000